

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/756,831	01/14/2004	Kiyotaka Murashima	50212-566 2387		
20277	7590 05/25/2005	EXAMINER			
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			PEACE, RHONDA S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874	2874	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/756,831	MURASHIMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rhonda S. Peace	2874			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	li - a tifa.					
1)🖂	application Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	anuary 2004.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·			
10)⊠	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	, ,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/3/2004 was filed in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 1/14/2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japanese application P2003-006146 as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Inventorship

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2874

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole et al (US 6072926).

In reference to claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, and 12, Cole et al discloses a method of forming optical waveguide gratings, and the device by which this method can be executed. The method by which Cole et al forms optical waveguide gratings comprises disposing a phase grating mask 30 beside an optical waveguide 40, irradiating the optical waveguide 40 in a longitudinal direction relative to the waveguide 40 during the scanning process with an irradiation means comprising a UV laser light source output 10 and a scanning mirror 20 (Figure 1). Further, Cole et al discloses vibrating the phase grating mask 30 in a longitudinal direction with respect to the waveguide 40, using a piezoelectric stage 50, upon irradiation, and changing the period of vibration of the phase grating mask 30 for each scan of the irradiation point (Figure 1; column 3 lines 26-40 and 53-60; column 5 lines 37-48). In addition, and pertaining to the device claims 5, 8, 9, 12, Cole el at clearly discloses the device for execution of the above-described method of forming an optical waveguide grating (column 3 lines 26-40 and 53-60; column 5 lines 37-48).

Application/Control Number: 10/756,831

Art Unit: 2874

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et al (US 6072926).

Pertaining to the claims 2, 3, 6, and 7, Cole et al clearly describes the phase shift of the grating as being highly dependent upon the relative movement of the phase mask in relation to the optical waveguide. Further, Cole et al describes the method as previously described lends great flexibility as variation of the said relative movement will alter the phase shift of the optical fiber, thereby allowing the user to create a fiber to the specification to which they desire (column 3 lines 33-36). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the above-mentioned method can be carried out with any designated number of irradiation scans from the laser light source. Further, it would also be obvious to one skilled in the art to manipulate relative movement between the phase mask and the optical fiber to produce a diffraction grating with any designated phase shift. Both choosing a irradiation scanning frequency and manipulating the relative movement of the phase mask and the fiber give the user a large degree of freedom in production, so that they may produce an optical diffraction grating that has the ability to be highly specialized to the user's purpose and provide numerous options

Application/Control Number: 10/756,831 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

for not only the number of irradiation points on the fiber, but also the phase shift of each irradiated section of the waveguide.

Claims 10, 11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et al (US 6072926), and further in view of Reid et al (US 6345135).

With reference to claims 10, 11, 13, and 14, Cole et al discloses the method of creating a diffraction grating on an optical fiber, as described above, in addition to a device for implementing the said method, as described above. In addition, Cole et al. further states that optical fibers having such diffraction gratings are key components in many fiber optic and laser system, but makes no specific mention of multiplexer/demultiplexer or optical transmission systems as applications of the above mentioned device or method (column 1 lines 4-8). However, Reid et al discloses an optical reflector comprising a diffraction grating structure that can be incorporated into a wavelength division multiplexer or demultiplexer, and also used within optical telecommunications systems (column 1 lines 15-19; column 3 lines 16-22). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Cole et al and Reid et al, as diffraction gratings are common components within multiplexer/demultiplexer devices as well as optical transmission systems, and further. as diffraction gratings formed by the above-described method will allow the waveguide to be highly specialized, based upon the preferences of the user, to provide a

Art Unit: 2874

waveguide more well-suited for the specific functions of the multiplexer/demultiplexer device or optical transmission system.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rondinella et al (US 6591039) describes a method for forming a diffraction grating on a waveguide using a phase mask, ultraviolet light source, and an optical system to reflect the UV light upon the phase mask, where the phase mask is displaced to create an appropriate phase shift. Wang et al (US 6873762) describes a similar device, where the period of the interference pattern along the optical fiber is varied by varying the distance between the fiber and a condenser lens that focuses light from the phase mask onto the optical fiber.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rhonda S. Peace whose telephone number is (571) 272-8580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272- 2344.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,831

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Rhonda S. Peace

Examiner Art Unit 2874

> / John D. Led Primary Examiner

Page 7